

BUKTI KORESPONDENSI ARTIKEL

“Splitting Tensile Strength of Fly Ash-Modified Sand at Various Saturations and Curing Times”

Revisions from reviewer-1:

MDPI | Reply review report

<https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review/29183211?report=21...>

minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id [My Profile \(/user/edit\)](#) [Logout](#) [Submit \(/user/manuscripts/upload\)](#)



<https://susy.mdpi.com> [Journals \(https://www.mdpi.com/about/journals/\)](https://www.mdpi.com/about/journals/)

[Topics \(https://www.mdpi.com/topics\)](https://www.mdpi.com/topics) [Information \(https://www.mdpi.com/guidelines\)](https://www.mdpi.com/guidelines)

[Author Services \(https://www.mdpi.com/authors/english\)](https://www.mdpi.com/authors/english) [Initiatives](#) [About \(https://www.mdpi.com/about\)](https://www.mdpi.com/about)

∨ User Menu

Home (/user/myprofile)	Journal	Infrastructures (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/infrastructures) (ISSN 2412-3811)
Manage Accounts (/user/manage_accounts)	Manuscript ID	infrastructures-1875168
Change Password (/user/chgpwd)	Type	Article
Edit Profile (/user/edit)	Title	Splitting Tensile Strength of Fly Ash-Modified Sand at Various Saturations and Curing Times
Logout (/user/logout)	Authors	Minson Simatupang * , Romy Suryaningrat Edwin , Sulha Sulha , Wayan Mustika , Heriansyah Putra , Dede Heri Yuli Yanto
	Abstract	Currently, a soil stabilization approach using fly ash as an effective choice for increasing soil stiffness and strength has emerged. With the presence of water, the lime in the fly ash would be separated, generating cementitious materials binding the grains of sand. In the present study, the influence of curing time and saturation during specimen preparation on the behavior of fly ash-modified sand was observed by performing a series of splitting tensile strength tests. It was found that the splitting tensile strength increases with fly ash content and curing time and decreases with porosity and saturation. The splitting tensile strength produced at 30% saturation was approximately twofold higher than that at 100% saturation, particularly at one month of curing time. However, the splitting tensile strength at higher saturations approaches that at lower saturations, especially at longer curing times. Porewater evaporation accelerates the self-hardening occurring over time during curing. By increasing fly ash percentage from 5 to 20 % to the mixture, the splitting tensile strength increased by up to twenty-fold in the present study. An equation has been proposed as a function of porosity/volumetric fly ash content, curing time, and saturation during the preparation of the specimen.

∨ Submissions Menu

Submit Manuscript (/user/manuscripts/upload)
Display Submitted Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/status)
Display Co-Authored Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/co-authored)
English Editing (/user/pre_english_article/status)
Discount Vouchers (/user/discount_voucher)
Invoices (/user/invoices)
LaTeX Word

Author's Reply to the Review Report (Reviewer 1)

Please provide a point-by-point response to the reviewer's comments and either enter it in the box below or upload it as a Word/PDF file. Please write down "Please see the attachment." in the box if you only upload an attachment. An example can be found here [\(/bundles/mdpisusy/attachments/Author/Example for author to respond reviewer - MDPI.docx?1e53c61ae425d6e8\)](/bundles/mdpisusy/attachments/Author/Example for author to respond reviewer - MDPI.docx?1e53c61ae425d6e8).



Count (/user
/get/latex_word_count)

* Author's
Notes to
Reviewer

Reviewers
Menu

Reviews (/user
/reviewer
/status)

Volunteer
Preferences
(/volunteer_reviewer_info
/view)

File Edit View Insert Format Tools Table

Help

Paragraph ↶ ↷ 📄 📁

B *I* x^2 x_2 ~~S~~ Ω 🔗 A 🖋

☰ ☲ $\frac{1}{2}$ ☱ ☴ ☵ ☶ ☷

I $\langle \rangle$

P 0 WORDS

Word / PDF

No file selected.

or

Review Report Form

- Open Review** I would not like to sign my review report
 I would like to sign my review report
- English language and style Extensive editing of English language and style required
 Moderate English changes required
 English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
 I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the research design appropriate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the methods adequately described?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the results clearly presented?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



Comments and Suggestions for Authors The manuscript presents the results from an experimental investigation on the effects of fly ash percentage, curing time and degree of saturation in stabilised sand. The work presented

is clear and original. Manuscript is well-written and appropriately structured. However, there are some issues which needs to be resolved.

1. The introduction provides a summary of research on FA stabilised sand. However, it does not explain why split tensile strength is important. Why not other methods? Is there a particular application where split tensile strength is more relevant?
2. Figures 3 and 4 are too small. Improve them and include more details.
3. How did you measure void ratio?
4. How did you achieve the predetermined Sr? Was the Sr was measured to verify?
5. L151: "...CT of at least 20%..." This is not clear. Why CT is given as a percentage?
6. Conclusion drawn in L186 – 188 is ambiguous and problematic. Where can we see this twenty-fold and fourteen-fold increment?
7. L189: The reduction in qt after one month is not evident. According to Figure 6, 4 month strength is higher than one month strength at any Sr. (assuming the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4 in the legend refer to CT in months)
8. L233-239: Provide evidence or reference to this argument.
9. It is hard to make a meaningful conclusion from the comparison in Figure 13. The empirical equation (4) was derived using the experimental data. For example, $k = 0.06$ was obtained directly from experimental results. Then, when you compare the calculated value to the same experimental results, they are obviously going to match.
10. Proof read the manuscript thoroughly for language corrections.

© 1996–2022 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

Submission Date 03 August 2022

Date of this 16 Aug 2022 15:19:05

Disclaimer **Terms and Conditions**
(<https://www.mdpi.com/about/terms-and-conditions>)
Privacy Policy (<https://www.mdpi.com/about/privacy>)



Revisions from reviewer-2:

MDPI | Reply review report

<https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review/29184802?report=21...>

minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id [My Profile \(/user/edit\)](#) [Logout](#) [Submit \(/user/manuscripts/upload\)](#)



<https://susy.mdpi.com>

[Journals \(https://www.mdpi.com/about/journals/\)](https://www.mdpi.com/about/journals/)

[Topics \(https://www.mdpi.com/topics\)](https://www.mdpi.com/topics)

[Information \(https://www.mdpi.com/guidelines\)](https://www.mdpi.com/guidelines)

[Author Services \(https://www.mdpi.com/authors/english\)](https://www.mdpi.com/authors/english)

[Initiatives](#)

[About \(https://www.mdpi.com/about\)](https://www.mdpi.com/about)

∨ User Menu

[Home \(/user/myprofile\)](#)

[Manage Accounts \(/user/manage_accounts\)](#)

[Change Password \(/user/chgpwd\)](#)

[Edit Profile \(/user/edit\)](#)

[Logout \(/user/logout\)](#)

∨ Submissions Menu

[Submit Manuscript \(/user/manuscripts/upload\)](#)

[Display Submitted Manuscripts \(/user/manuscripts/status\)](#)

[Display Co-Authored Manuscripts \(/user/manuscripts/co-authored\)](#)

[English Editing \(/user/pre_english_article/status\)](#)

[Discount Vouchers \(/user/discount_voucher\)](#)

[Invoices \(/user/invoices\)](#)

[LaTeX Word](#)

Journal Infrastructures (<https://www.mdpi.com/journal/infrastructures>) (ISSN 2412-3811)

Manuscript ID infrastructures-1875168

Type Article

Title Splitting Tensile Strength of Fly Ash-Modified Sand at Various Saturations and Curing Times

Authors Minson Simatupang * , Romy Suryaningrat Edwin , Sulha Sulha , Wayan Mustika , Heriansyah Putra , Dede Heri Yuli Yanto

Abstract Currently, a soil stabilization approach using fly ash as an effective choice for increasing soil stiffness and strength has emerged. With the presence of water, the lime in the fly ash would be separated, generating cementitious materials binding the grains of sand. In the present study, the influence of curing time and saturation during specimen preparation on the behavior of fly ash-modified sand was observed by performing a series of splitting tensile strength tests. It was found that the splitting tensile strength increases with fly ash content and curing time and decreases with porosity and saturation. The splitting tensile strength produced at 30% saturation was approximately twofold higher than that at 100% saturation, particularly at one month of curing time. However, the splitting tensile strength at higher saturations approaches that at lower saturations, especially at longer curing times. Porewater evaporation accelerates the self-hardening occurring over time during curing. By increasing fly ash percentage from 5 to 20 % to the mixture, the splitting tensile strength increased by up to twenty-fold in the present study. An equation has been proposed as a function of porosity/volumetric fly ash content, curing time, and saturation during the preparation of the specimen.

Author's Reply to the Review Report (Reviewer 2)

Please provide a point-by-point response to the reviewer's comments and either enter it in the box below or upload it as a Word/PDF file. Please write down "Please see the attachment." in the box if you only upload an attachment. An example can be found here (</bundles/mdpisusy/attachments/Author/Example for author to respond reviewer - MDPI.docx?1e53c61ae425d6e8>).



Count (/user
/get/latex_word_count)

* Author's
Notes to
Reviewer

Reviewers
Menu

Reviews (/user
/reviewer
/status)

Volunteer
Preferences
(/volunteer_reviewer_info
/view)

File Edit View Insert Format Tools Table

Help

Paragraph ↶ ↷ 📄 📁

B *I* x^2 x_2 ~~S~~ Ω 🔗 A 🖋

☰ ☲ ☱ ☴ ☵ ☶ ☷

I $\langle \rangle$

P 0 WORDS

Word / PDF

No file selected.

or

Review Report Form

- Open Review** I would not like to sign my review report
 I would like to sign my review report
- English language and style Extensive editing of English language and style required
 Moderate English changes required
 English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
 I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the research design appropriate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the methods adequately described?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the results clearly presented?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



Comments and Suggestions for Authors

In general, the authors studied the splitting strength of sand stabilized using fly ash, specifically discussed the influence of the curing time and saturation on the mechanical splitting

behavior of stabilized sand, and put forward a splitting strength prediction model considering various factors such as saturation and fly ash content. The research is very interesting and the the paper structure is well written, but there are also some problems. After revision, it can be accepted as a journal for publication. The specific problems are as follows:

1. In introduction section, the author mentioned that Chemical grouting as a binder has been widely used for soil improvement techniques to prevent liquefaction. There is too little introduction about the liquefaction of sand, and more references should be cited to introduce the liquefaction of sand, because there is too little analysis on why the sand should be treated using fly ash in this article, some recent references are as follows, it is very relevant to soil liquefaction for this research.

① Cyclic undrained behavior and liquefaction potential of sand treated with chemical grouts and microfine cement (MC-500). *Geotechnical Testing Journal*, 17(2), 159-170.

② A hybrid GMDH neural network and logistic regression framework for state parameter–based liquefaction evaluation. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 99(999), 1801-1811.

③ Prediction of in situ state parameter of sandy deposits from CPT measurements using optimized GMDH-type neural networks. *Acta Geotechnica*, doi.org/10.1007/s11440-022-01540-6.

④ A novel PSO-KELM based soil liquefaction potential evaluation system using CPT and Vs measurements. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 150, 106930.

⑤ Promoting precipitation technique using bio-chemical grouting for soil liquefaction prevention. *Civil Engineering Dimension*, 22(1), 1-5.

2. In the first paragraph of the introduction, the author thinks that fly ash is one of the most environmentally friendly materials that can reduce environmental problems , before writing this sentence, the authors should give some curing agents for treating problematic soil, such as cement, lime, SEU-2, phosphogypsum, GGBS, etc, The following are some relevant references in recent 5 years:

① *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 2021, 415: 125659. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125659>

3. In the sentence, ' As an industrial waste, fly ash (FA) has been determined to be an effective choice for increasing soil stiffness



and strength by way of', the word 'industrial waste' should be revised to 'industrial by-products'. Fly ash is now considered as an additive of cement and is no longer an industrial waste.

4. In the introduction, some references of fly ash stabilized soil should be also cited.

for example:

Environmental Earth Sciences, 80(3), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-021-09398-9>

5. The fitted curves in Fig.10 are incomplete and seem to be covered, please correct.

6. In section 2.11, please give the test standard of each indicator in Table 1.

7. In the sentence 'after the mold was full, the surface was leveled and weighed to ensure that it was at the targeted relative density of $50 \pm 2\%$ '. Why is the relative density determined as $50 \pm 2\%$ in this paper? Please explain it.

8. The picture size from Figure 4 is too small, please adjust it larger

9. In the sentence 'decreasing with ads in saturation', what is ads?

10. In Fig. 8a and b, the authors write that FA bonds are concentrated on the contact surface between particles or distributed on the surface, actually it only can be seen that the structure of Fig. 8a is more compact, please correct. In addition, some fly ash gel can be seen in the Figure.8, the term 'FA bond' should be changed to 'gel'.



11. It is suggested to replace FA in formula (3) with other symbols. FA is generally the abbreviation of fly ash.

© 1996-2022 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

Submission Date 03 August 2022
Date of this review 14 Aug 2022 09:33:43

Disclaimer **Terms and Conditions**
(<https://www.mdpi.com/about/terms-and-conditions>)
Privacy Policy (<https://www.mdpi.com/about/privacy>)



Revisions from reviewer-3:



∨ User Menu

- [Home \(/user/myprofile\)](#)
- [Manage Accounts \(/user/manage_accounts\)](#)
- [Change Password \(/user/chgpwd\)](#)
- [Edit Profile \(/user/edit\)](#)
- [Logout \(/user/logout\)](#)

∨ Submissions Menu

- [Submit Manuscript \(/user/manuscripts/upload\)](#)
- [Display Submitted Manuscripts \(/user/manuscripts/status\)](#)
- [Display Co-Authored Manuscripts \(/user/manuscripts/co-authored\)](#)
- [English Editing \(/user/pre_english_article/status\)](#)
- [Discount Vouchers \(/user/discount_voucher\)](#)
- [Invoices \(/user/invoices\)](#)
- [LaTeX Word](#)

Journal	Infrastructures (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/infrastructures) (ISSN 2412-3811)
Manuscript ID	infrastructures-1875168
Type	Article
Title	Splitting Tensile Strength of Fly Ash-Modified Sand at Various Saturations and Curing Times
Authors	Minson Simatupang * , Romy Suryaningrat Edwin , Sulha Sulha , Wayan Mustika , Heriansyah Putra , Dede Heri Yuli Yanto
Abstract	Currently, a soil stabilization approach using fly ash as an effective choice for increasing soil stiffness and strength has emerged. With the presence of water, the lime in the fly ash would be separated, generating cementitious materials binding the grains of sand. In the present study, the influence of curing time and saturation during specimen preparation on the behavior of fly ash-modified sand was observed by performing a series of splitting tensile strength tests. It was found that the splitting tensile strength increases with fly ash content and curing time and decreases with porosity and saturation. The splitting tensile strength produced at 30% saturation was approximately twofold higher than that at 100% saturation, particularly at one month of curing time. However, the splitting tensile strength at higher saturations approaches that at lower saturations, especially at longer curing times. Porewater evaporation accelerates the self-hardening occurring over time during curing. By increasing fly ash percentage from 5 to 20 % to the mixture, the splitting tensile strength increased by up to twenty-fold in the present study. An equation has been proposed as a function of porosity/volumetric fly ash content, curing time, and saturation during the preparation of the specimen.

Author's Reply to the Review Report (Reviewer 3)

Please provide a point-by-point response to the reviewer's comments and either enter it in the box below or upload it as a Word/PDF file. Please write down "Please see the attachment." in the box if you only upload an attachment. An example can be found here (</bundles/mdpisusy/attachments/Author/Example for author to respond reviewer - MDPI.docx?1e53c61ae425d6e8>).



Count (/user
/get/latex_word_count)

* Author's
Notes to
Reviewer

Reviewers
Menu

Reviews (/user
/reviewer
/status)

Volunteer
Preferences
(/volunteer_reviewer_info
/view)

File Edit View Insert Format Tools Table

Help

Paragraph ↶ ↷ 📄 📁

B *I* x^2 x_2 ~~S~~ Ω 🔗 A 🖋

☰ ☲ $\frac{1}{2}$ ☱ ☴ ☵ ☶ ☷

I $\langle \rangle$

P 0 WORDS

Word / PDF

No file selected.

or

Review Report Form

- Open Review** I would not like to sign my review report
 I would like to sign my review report
- English language and style Extensive editing of English language and style required
 Moderate English changes required
 English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
 I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the research design appropriate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the methods adequately described?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the results clearly presented?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



Comments and Suggestions for Authors

The manuscript submitted by Minson Simatupang et al. investigates the mechanical properties of fly ash-solidified soils. The manuscript is well written, the experimental design is well

planned, the experimental results and empirical formulas are described, and it has some engineering significance. However, there are still some places that need to be clarified or modified by the author, as shown in the following 6 items. It is suggested to accept it after **major revision** and publish it in this journal.

1.The introduction of the splitting equipment cannot be seen in the author's manuscript. It is recommended that the author give a further detailed introduction to the splitting equipment.

2. Figure 3 and Figure 4 are too small and not clear enough.

3.From Figure 5, it can be observed that there is a certain relationship between the CT of the sample and the dosage, and the change is most obvious at 20% dosage. It is recommended that the author make further explanations.

4.Some of the illustrations in Figure 7 are not clear enough, and the author is advised to replace the symbols.

5.Only the content of SEM is described in the manuscript, and the author is suggested to do further analysis on the part of the reaction mechanism.

6.The large number of numerical points about the fitting in Figure 13 are not clear enough, and the author is advised to express it more clearly.

7. The key conclusion discussion is missed in the manuscript, and what are the differences between the author's research results and previous fly ash solidification experiments. Please revise and discuss in detail in the comparison table.

© 1996-2022 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

Submission Date 03 August 2022

Date of this 17 Aug 2022 09:49:22

Disclaimer **Terms and Conditions**
(<https://www.mdpi.com/about/terms-and-conditions>)
Privacy Policy (<https://www.mdpi.com/about/privacy>)





minson simatupang <minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id>

[Infrastructures] Manuscript ID: infrastructures-1875168 - Submission Received

1 message

Editorial Office <infrastructures@mdpi.com>

Thu, Aug 4, 2022 at 12:58 AM

Reply-To: infrastructures@mdpi.com

To: Minson Simatupang <minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id>

Cc: Romy Suryaningrat Edwin <romy.edwin@uho.ac.id>, Sulha Sulha <sulha@uho.ac.id>, Wayan Mustika <wayan.mustika@uho.ac.id>, Heriansyah Putra <heriansyahptr@apps.ipb.ac.id>, Dede Heri Yuli Yanto <dede.heri.yuli.yanto@brin.go.id>

Dear Mr. Simatupang,

Thank you very much for uploading the following manuscript to the MDPI submission system. One of our editors will be in touch with you soon.

Journal name: Infrastructures

Manuscript ID: infrastructures-1875168

Type of manuscript: Article

Title: Splitting Tensile Strength of Fly Ash-Modified Sand at Various Saturations and Curing Times

Authors: Minson Simatupang *, Romy Suryaningrat Edwin, Sulha Sulha, Wayan Mustika, Heriansyah Putra, Dede Heri Yuli Yanto

Received: 3 August 2022

E-mails: minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id, romy.edwin@uho.ac.id, sulha@uho.ac.id, wayan.mustika@uho.ac.id, heriansyahptr@apps.ipb.ac.id, dede.heri.yuli.yanto@brin.go.id

You can follow progress of your manuscript at the following link (login required):

https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review_info/951f546962f78f75ddae4f6857722f7b

The following points were confirmed during submission:

1. Infrastructures is an open access journal with publishing fees of 1600 CHF for an accepted paper (see <https://www.mdpi.com/about/apc/> for details). This manuscript, if accepted, will be published under an open access Creative Commons CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), and I agree to pay the Article Processing Charges as described on the journal webpage (<https://www.mdpi.com/journal/infrastructures/apc>). See <https://www.mdpi.com/about/openaccess> for more information about open access publishing.

Please note that you may be entitled to a discount if you have previously received a discount code or if your institute is participating in the MDPI Institutional Open Access Program (IOAP), for more information see <https://www.mdpi.com/about/ioap>. If you have been granted any other special discounts for your submission, please contact the Infrastructures editorial office.

2. I understand that:

a. If previously published material is reproduced in my manuscript, I will provide proof that I have obtained the necessary copyright permission. (Please refer to the Rights & Permissions website: <https://www.mdpi.com/authors/rights>).

b. My manuscript is submitted on the understanding that it has not been published in or submitted to another peer-reviewed journal. Exceptions to this rule are papers containing material disclosed at conferences. I confirm that I will inform the journal editorial office if this is the case for my manuscript. I confirm that all authors are familiar with and agree with



minson simatupang <minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id>

[Infrastructures] Manuscript ID: infrastructures-1875168 - Major Revisions

1 message

Infrastructures Editorial Office <infrastructures@mdpi.com>

Thu, Aug 18, 2022 at 11:06 AM

Reply-To: felicia.dai@mdpi.com

To: Minson Simatupang <minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id>

Cc: Romy Suryaningrat Edwin <romy.edwin@uho.ac.id>, Sulha Sulha <sulha@uho.ac.id>, Wayan Mustika <wayan.mustika@uho.ac.id>, Heriansyah Putra <heriansyahptr@apps.ipb.ac.id>, Dede Heri Yuli Yanto <dede.heri.yuli.yanto@brin.go.id>, Infrastructures Editorial Office <infrastructures@mdpi.com>

Dear Mr. Simatupang,

Thank you again for your manuscript submission:

Manuscript ID: infrastructures-1875168

Type of manuscript: Article

Title: Splitting Tensile Strength of Fly Ash-Modified Sand at Various

Saturations and Curing Times

Authors: Minson Simatupang *, Romy Suryaningrat Edwin, Sulha Sulha, Wayan Mustika, Heriansyah Putra, Dede Heri Yuli Yanto

Received: 3 August 2022

E-mails: minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id, romy.edwin@uho.ac.id, sulha@uho.ac.id, wayan.mustika@uho.ac.id, heriansyahptr@apps.ipb.ac.id, dede.heri.yuli.yanto@brin.go.id

Your manuscript has now been reviewed by experts in the field. Please find your manuscript with the referee reports at this link:

<https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/resubmit/951f546962f78f75ddae4f6857722f7b>

Please revise the manuscript according to the referees' comments and upload the revised file within 10 days.

Please use the version of your manuscript found at the above link for your revisions.

- (I) Please check that all references are relevant to the contents of the manuscript.
- (II) Any revisions to the manuscript should be marked up using the "Track Changes" function if you are using MS Word/LaTeX, such that any changes can be easily viewed by the editors and reviewers.
- (III) Please provide a cover letter to explain, point by point, the details of the revisions to the manuscript and your responses to the referees' comments.
- (IV) If you found it impossible to address certain comments in the review reports, please include an explanation in your rebuttal.
- (V) The revised version will be sent to the editors and reviewers.

If one of the referees has suggested that your manuscript should undergo extensive English revisions, please address this issue during revision. We propose that you use one of the editing services listed at <https://www.mdpi.com/authors/english> or have your manuscript checked by a native English-speaking colleague.

Do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions regarding the revision of your manuscript. We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Kind regards,
Ms. Felicia Dai
Assistant Editor, MDPI Nanjing



minson simatupang <minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id>

[Infrastructures] Manuscript ID: infrastructures-1875168 - Accepted for Publication

1 message

Infrastructures Editorial Office <infrastructures@mdpi.com>

Thu, Sep 15, 2022 at 9:06 AM

Reply-To: Felicia Dai <felicia.dai@mdpi.com>, Infrastructures Editorial Office <infrastructures@mdpi.com>

To: Minson Simatupang <minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id>

Cc: Romy Suryaningrat Edwin <romy.edwin@uho.ac.id>, Sulha Sulha <sulha@uho.ac.id>, Wayan Mustika <wayan.mustika@uho.ac.id>, Heriansyah Putra <heriansyahptr@apps.ipb.ac.id>, Dede Heri Yuli Yanto <dede.heri.yuli.yanto@brin.go.id>, Infrastructures Editorial Office <infrastructures@mdpi.com>, Felicia Dai <felicia.dai@mdpi.com>

Dear Mr. Simatupang,

Congratulations on the acceptance of your manuscript, and thank you for submitting your work to Infrastructures:

Manuscript ID: infrastructures-1875168

Type of manuscript: Article

Title: Splitting Tensile Strength of Fly Ash-Modified Sand at Various Saturations and Curing Times

Authors: Minson Simatupang *, Romy Suryaningrat Edwin, Sulha Sulha, Wayan Mustika, Heriansyah Putra, Dede Heri Yuli Yanto

Received: 3 August 2022

E-mails: minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id, romy.edwin@uho.ac.id, sulha@uho.ac.id, wayan.mustika@uho.ac.id, heriansyahptr@apps.ipb.ac.id, dede.heri.yuli.yanto@brin.go.id

https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review_info/951f546962f78f75ddae4f6857722f7b

We will now edit and finalize your paper, which will then be returned to you for your approval. Within the next couple of days, an invoice concerning the article processing charge (APC) for publication in this open access journal will be sent by email from the Editorial Office in Basel, Switzerland.

If, however, extensive English edits are required to your manuscript, we will need to return the paper requesting improvements throughout.

We encourage you to set up your profile at SciProfiles.com, MDPI's researcher network platform. Articles you publish with MDPI will be linked to your SciProfiles page, where colleagues and peers will be able to see all of your publications, citations, as well as other academic contributions.

We also invite you to contribute to Encyclopedia (<https://encyclopedia.pub>), a scholarly platform providing accurate information about the latest research results. You can adapt parts of your paper to provide valuable reference information, via Encyclopedia, for others both within the field and beyond.

Kind regards,
Pedro Arias-Sánchez
Editor-in-Chief



minson simatupang <minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id>

[Infrastructures] Manuscript ID: infrastructures-1875168 - Final Proofreading Before Publication

1 message

Infrastructures Editorial Office <infrastructures@mdpi.com>

Fri, Sep 16, 2022 at 11:59 AM

Reply-To: Felicia Dai <felicia.dai@mdpi.com>, Infrastructures Editorial Office <infrastructures@mdpi.com>

To: Minson Simatupang <minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id>

Cc: Romy Suryaningrat Edwin <romy.edwin@uho.ac.id>, Sulha Sulha <sulha@uho.ac.id>, Wayan Mustika

<wayan.mustika@uho.ac.id>, Heriansyah Putra <heriansyahptr@apps.ipb.ac.id>, Dede Heri Yuli Yanto

<dede.heri.yuli.yanto@brin.go.id>, Infrastructures Editorial Office <infrastructures@mdpi.com>, felicia.dai@mdpi.com

Dear Mr. Simatupang,

We invite you to proofread your manuscript to ensure that this is the final version that can be published and confirm that you will require no further changes:

At MDPI, we believe in the fast dissemination of sound, valid scientific knowledge. Once accepted for publication, we aim to ensure that research is published as soon as possible.

Please upload the final proofed version of your manuscript within 24 hours, and please remember that we are able to be flexible with this timeframe should you alert us. If you need more time, please inform the Assistant Editor of the expected date that you will be able to return the proofread version.

Manuscript ID: infrastructures-1875168

Type of manuscript: Article

Title: Splitting Tensile Strength of Fly Ash-Modified Sand at Various Saturations and Curing Times

Authors: Minson Simatupang *, Romy Suryaningrat Edwin, Sulha Sulha, Wayan Mustika, Heriansyah Putra, Dede Heri Yuli Yanto

Received: 3 August 2022

E-mails: minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id, romy.edwin@uho.ac.id, sulha@uho.ac.id, wayan.mustika@uho.ac.id, heriansyahptr@apps.ipb.ac.id, dede.heri.yuli.yanto@brin.go.id

Please read the following instructions carefully before proofreading:

- 1) Download the manuscript from the link provided at the end of this message and upload the final proofed version via the second link. If you experience any difficulties, please contact the Infrastructures Editorial Office.
- 2) Please use Microsoft Word's built-in track changes function to highlight any changes you make, or send a comprehensive list of changes in a separate document. Note that this is the *last chance* to make textual changes to the manuscript. Some style and formatting changes may have been made by the production team, please do not revert these changes.
- 3) All authors must agree to the final version. Check carefully that authors' names and affiliations are correct, and that funding sources are correctly acknowledged. Incorrect author names or affiliations are picked up by indexing databases, such as the Web of Science or PubMed, and can be difficult to correct.

After proofreading, final production will be carried out. Note that changes to the position of figures and tables may occur during the final steps. Changes can be made to a paper published online only at the discretion of the Editorial Office.



minson simatupang <minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id>

[Infrastructures] Manuscript ID: infrastructures-1875168; doi: 10.3390/infrastructures7100126. Paper has been published.

1 message

infrastructures@mdpi.com <infrastructures@mdpi.com>

Thu, Sep 22, 2022 at 6:56 PM

Reply-To: felicia.dai@mdpi.com, infrastructures@mdpi.com

To: minson.simatupang@uho.ac.id, romy.edwin@uho.ac.id, sulha@uho.ac.id, wayan.mustika@uho.ac.id,

heriansyahptr@apps.ipb.ac.id, dede.heri.yuli.yanto@brin.go.id

Cc: billing@mdpi.com, website@mdpi.com, infrastructures@mdpi.com, libra.li@mdpi.com, felicia.dai@mdpi.com

Dear Authors,

We are pleased to inform you that your article "Splitting Tensile Strength of Fly Ash-Modified Sand at Various Saturations and Curing Times" has been published in Infrastructures and is available online:

Abstract: <https://www.mdpi.com/2412-3811/7/10/126>

PDF Version: <https://www.mdpi.com/2412-3811/7/10/126/pdf>

The meta data of your article, the manuscript files and a publication certificate are available here (only available to corresponding authors after login):

https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review_info/951f546962f78f75ddae4f6857722f7b

Please take a moment to check that everything is correct. You can reply to this email if there is a problem. If any errors are noticed, please note that all authors must follow MDPI's policy on updating published papers, found here: <https://www.mdpi.com/ethics#16>.

To encourage open scientific discussions and increase the visibility of published articles, MDPI recently implemented interactive commenting and recommendation functionalities on all article webpages (side bar on the right). We encourage you to forward the article link to your colleagues and peers.

We encourage you to set up your profile at www.SciProfiles.com, MDPI's researcher network platform. Articles you publish with MDPI will be linked to your SciProfiles page, where colleagues and peers will be able to see all of your publications, citations, as well as your other academic contributions. Please also feel free to send us feedback on the platform that we can improve it quickly and make it useful for scientific communities.

You can also share the paper on various social networks by clicking the links on the article webpage. Alternatively, our Editorial Office can post an announcement of your article on our Twitter channel, please send us a text of up to 200 characters with spaces. Please note that our service Scitations.net will automatically notify authors cited in your article. For further paper promotion guidelines, please refer to the following link: <https://www.mdpi.com/authors/promoting>.

We would be happy to keep you updated about new issue releases of infrastructures. Please enter your e-mail address in the box at <https://www.mdpi.com/journal/infrastructures/toc-alert/> to receive notifications. After issue release, a version of your paper including the issue cover will be available to download from the article abstract page.

To order high quality reprints of your article in quantities of 25-1000, visit: <https://www.mdpi.com/2412-3811/7/10/126/reprints>

We support the multidisciplinary preprint platform /Preprints/, which permanently archives full text documents and datasets of working papers in